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Executive summary: This WGC support provides for about 3 months of technician time. The additional work is devoted to evaluating breeder samples for quality from October through mid-January.

During this period, spring wheat samples are given priority over winter wheat samples. The aim is to coordinate with the WSU Wheat Quality Program, and complete as many analyses as possible before spring wheat planting decisions in early February. In this way, the spring wheat program is made more efficient because inferior quality lines are not planted and grown. The standing goal for WSU winter wheat breeding lines is to complete as many as possible before June 1. Milling and baking evaluations of the 2015-Crop were completed and 2016-Crop testing is well under way at the Western Wheat Quality Lab.

We provide breeders with SKCS single kernel size, weight, and hardness, and the variability (SD) of each; grain protein, test weight, flour yield, break flour yield, milling score, flour ash and protein, dough mixing time and type, dough water absorption, Solvent Retention Capacity (SRC) Water, Lactic Acid, Sucrose and Carbonate; SDS Sedimentation, cookie diameter and score, bread volume and score, sponge cake volume, and RVA (Rapid Visco Analyzer) peak pasting viscosity or Flour Swelling Volume (FSV) (RVA and FSV are for starch quality).

Impact: This work contributes directly to WSU and ARS variety development and release. New varieties need to be fully evaluated for end-use quality so that our customers can purchase predictable, high quality Washington wheat.

Outputs and Outcomes:

Use the Excel template provided to report on the following. Ideally, you simply update your spreadsheet from previous reports. The objectives and deliverables identified in the spreadsheet should be consistent with the original objectives and deliverables described in the project proposal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete spring wheat samples by early Feb.</td>
<td>Full mill &amp; bake data delivered to breeder</td>
<td>Progress is good and on track</td>
<td>Starts at harvest when samples come in, ends with completion of last nursery</td>
<td>Data delivered directly to breeder; dialogue may ensue as to interpretation, selection strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete winter wheat samples by early June</td>
<td>Full mill &amp; bake data delivered to breeder</td>
<td>Progress is good and on track</td>
<td>Starts at harvest when samples come in, ends with completion of last nursery</td>
<td>Data delivered directly to breeder; dialogue may ensue as to interpretation, selection strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>