Armyworm caterpillars can be a troublesome pest in cereal grains grown in the Pacific Northwest states. These caterpillars, from the genus *Faronta*, have caused crop damage in Umatilla County, Oregon since 2007. In 2007 and 2008 the pest caused damage to crops in Lincoln County, Washington. Researchers also noted a 35% yield loss due to the insect in 2007 and 2008 in spring wheat trials conducted by Washington State University (WSU) near Davenport, Washington (Roberts 2008, 2009a, b). Bonneville County, Idaho reported damage from the pest in 2005 and 2006.

The insect involved may be the “true” wheat head armyworm (WHA), *Faronta diffusa* (*Lepidoptera: Noctuidae*), which is a pest of cereal grains throughout the Midwest and Great Plains, or it may be a close relative. Information about the insect in the Pacific Northwest is incomplete at this time.

**The ‘True’ Wheat Head Armyworm**

The genus *Faronta* consists of 13 species. True WHA larvae feed on wheat and various other grain and grass crops. The genus also includes *F. terrapictalis* (Buckett 1967, [1969]), which scientists at Oregon State University (OSU) referred to as “false” wheat head armyworm during the 2009 growing season. *Faronta terrapictalis* is native to the western United States. Its host range and pest status are not known (Michaud et al. 2007).

All *Faronta* larvae and moths look similar, which can cause confusion as to which species has been found feeding on wheat, grains or grass. OSU scientists have found moths of both *F. diffusa* and *F. terrapictalis* in wheat-growing areas of Oregon and Washington, but they are unsure which species may
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**Figure 1.** *Faronta* (armyworm) larvae can be troublesome in cereal grains grown in the Pacific Northwest states.
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be responsible for larval damage to wheat. The next challenge, once researchers confirm the identification of the pest, is to determine appropriate control measures if needed.

Noctuidae comprise the largest family in the order Lepidoptera, which includes moths, skippers and butterflies. The family also includes numerous pest species such as loopers, cutworms, and armyworms (Mickel 1932). “True” and “false” WHA are typical representatives of the Noctuidae family. Both have straw colored forewings, which probably provide protection through camouflage against a background of dry grasses or wheat.

Identification, Life Cycle, and Behavior

The WHA has four life stages: egg, larva, pupa, and adult. Larvae go through five instars (Peairs 2006). The larvae vary in color but have been noted as gray, cream, or green with distinct yellow, white, and brown strips along the length of the body (figure 1). The adult moth is yellow-brown with a brown stripe running down the length of each of the forewings (figure 2). The wingspan measures 1.2 to 1.5 inches (Michaud et al. 2007). During the winter months, the larvae pupate in the soil. When spring arrives, moths emerge. Within a few days, the moths lay eggs on wheat or barley crops (Peairs 2006). Larvae that develop from eggs feed on wheat as early as late May, with increasing numbers into mid-June (Michaud et al. 2007). This late-spring timing coincides with wheat flag leaf development. Larvae feed on wheat heads, primarily at night, when ambient temperatures are cooler. They migrate toward the base of stalks during hot days. Larvae and moths typically are active only at night (Michaud et al. 2007; Royer 2007).

The first generation of larvae, which emerges in mid- to late-spring, is the generation that tends to cause undetected but significant damage to wheat crops. This generation pupates in mid-summer as the wheat heads ripen to maturity, leaving damaged wheat kernels as remnants of their presence. The second generation of moths usually lays its eggs on warm seasonal grasses in the fall, after wheat has been harvested (Royer 2007). In Oregon and Washington, a trapping program showed two flights of moths in 2009, with moth numbers in the second flight substantially lower than in the first.

Distribution

The WHA is found throughout the United States and Canada, but is more common in high-producing wheat areas including Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Colorado (Michaud et al. 2007). When WHA first entered Oregon and Washington is unclear. Adult moths confirmed to be F. diffusa were captured in sex-attractant traps in a 2009 study (Roberts 2009a,b). In that study, F. diffusa and F. terrapictalis were consistently found in Oregon and Washington. However, 2009 trapping data from eastern Washington into Umatilla County, Oregon do not identify which species was likely to have caused reported crop damage. The two species are morphologically similar, except that F. terrapictalis has somewhat darker forewings and substantially darker hind wings (figure 2).

Feeding

WHA larvae may feed on all parts of grass and cereal crops, but seem to prefer the heads of these crops. Damage takes the form of a small hole bored into the base of the floret. It may look very similar to damage caused by weevils in stored grain. The pests are more likely to be found along field margins.

The preferred crop is yet to be determined. Many believe this species of armyworm only has an affinity towards wheat, but some entomologists suggest Timothy grass (Phleum pratense) is the preferred crop. When larvae feed on wheat, they tend to eat...
the wheat heads at night and early in the morning. They usually hang upside down from the slender bristles or “awns” and hollow out the kernels.

**Control**

Infrequently, WHA can cause economic harm to cash crops. The problem usually goes unnoticed until the crop is harvested and damaged kernels are found. Scouting for the pest is unlikely to be justified unless it is part of an integrated management program or if infestations have occurred previously in an area. At present, control measures based on research are limited (see Chemical Controls), and scientifically tested economic thresholds for treatment are not available. Researchers at OSU and WSU do not routinely recommend growers spray at the first sign of the insect.

Sampling and trapping are two practices that may be helpful if a monitoring program to scout for WHA is desired.

**Sampling**

Sampling for WHA larvae and moths may be done with a sweep net (figure 3). Infestations are usually limited to field margins, so this would be the first place to check. Scouts should also sweep deep into each field to get a representative sample. Stay consistent with the number of sweeps where field sampling is to be done. Identification of WHA is difficult, but OSU Extension and WSU Extension can assist with identification, at least to the genus level.

**Trapping**

Trapping is another way to monitor for WHA (figures 4a and 4b). A sex-attractant may be used to lure moths to the trap (Showler 2005). Traps should be left in or adjacent to the the field throughout the crop season, from late April to mid-summer,
and should be checked at least once per week. OSU experts recommend changing the pheromone lures and insecticidal strips in the traps every four weeks.

**Chemical Control**

While no insecticides are specifically labeled for control of WHA in the Pacific Northwest, studies suggest pyrethroids may work well. They should be used with restraint since they affect natural enemies. For best results, spray early in the morning or late in the evening, while the pest is exposed and feeding. If the field is sprayed during the day the treatment is likely to be less effective.

Any registered contact insecticide should be effective against the pest. However, pesticide trials have not been conducted specifically for control of WHA. While armyworms are listed on the labels of a number of chemicals, no currently available commercial insecticide specifically lists WHA.

Two chemicals that may be of some help against the pest are Warrior with Zeon Technology, from Syngenta, and Mustang Max EC, from FMC. Warrior has a warning safety label, a re-entry interval of 24 hours, and a preharvest interval (PHI) of 30 days. This may pose a problem for growers if it is applied close to harvest, in winter wheat especially. Mustang Max has a caution label, a re-entry interval of 12-hours, and a PHI of 14 days. The law requires applicators to **always read and follow all pesticide label recommendations when using chemical products.**

**Biological Control**

A report from WSU indicates caterpillars thought to be WHA were parasitized by an unidentified wasp. In other areas, it has been suggested that *Glytopanteles militaris*, a parasitoid wasp, may prey on the WHA. Ground beetles, lady beetles, and lacewings probably also prey on WHA larvae.
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